IN THE HIGH COURT OF SWAZILAN

DREX

V s

THULANI SIPHO MKHABEL

A Criminal Case No.71/2006

Cora m For the Crow n

For the Defence

S.B. MAPHALALA - J MR.B. MAGAGULA MR.B. SIMELANE

REASONS FOR SENTENC E

 8^{th} March 2007

[1] The accused person tendered a plea of guilty in respect of the lesser offence of culpable homicide where he has been indicted for the crime of

murder , wher e it is alleged by the Crown that upon or about 23 'September 2005, and at or near Msind a

Crown accepted the plea and read into the record a statement of agreed

facts by the parties. The post mortem report in this matter was further

entered by

consent as exhibit "A". The court then proceeded to convict the accused on

the strength of his ow n plea and what is reflected in the statement of agreed facts. Th e said statemen t rea

1. Upon or about 23rd September 2005 and at or near Msinda area in the district of Manzini th e accused did unlawf

- 2. Accused pleads guilty of culpable homicide and the plea is accepted by the Crown.
- 3. Accused accepts that the deceased died as a direct consequence of his conduct and that there is no intervening cause of death between his (accused) conduct and the death of the deceased.
- 4. Deceased died due to "haemorrhage as (a) result of penetrating injury to the heart" as stated in the post mortem examination report and the injury was inflicted upon the deceased by the accused with a knife.
- 5. The report on post mortem examination on the body of the deceased be submitted to form part of the evidence.
- 6. On the fateful day, in the evening, the accused was in the company of PW1, PW2 and PW3 enroute to a neighbouring homestead at Msinda area to attend a traditional wedding (umtsimba). They were walking along the gravel road.
 - 6.1 Whilst walking a car approached with its lights brightly lit and it stoppedin front of the group with the lights still on. A man whom the groupsuspected alighted from the car approached them and demanded to have a word with the accused.As h the deceased whom they all knew.

- 6.2 There had been a long standing feud between the accused and the deceased prior to this day and on several occasions the two had manhandled one another. The other three stepped aside as the deceased was approaching and coming accused. The deceased held one arm behind his back and they did not see what he was carrying. The two immediately manhandled one another and in the process the accused produced a knife and stabbed the deceased once on the chest. The other three fled, leaving the fighting duo alone.
- 6.3 After stabbing the deceased, the accused just left him lying on the ground and did nothing to assist notwithstanding that by then it was just the two of them. On the next morning when asked about deceased whereabouts, the accused said he did not kn be seen with him.
- 6.4 Deceased was subsequently discovered dead the next day by a passerby and the police were called. The accused was eventually apprehended and arrested by the police on the 24th September 2005 and has been in custody ever since.

7. Accused accepts that his conduct was unlawful and is remorseful.

[2] In mitigation of sentence it was submitted for the accused person as follows: (i) that when he was arrested he was 21years old but that now he is

23years old; (ii) that he is a first offender and has been in custod y since the

24th September 2005. (iii) that the deceased on the facts was the cause of all these problems as he is the one who started the fight.

[3] At this stage the court is to mete out a proper sentence in the circumstances. The court is to consider firstly, the interest of society, secondly, the nature of the offence and thirdly, the interest of the accused as

decide d in the often cited case of 5 vs Zinn 1969 S.A. 537 (A). The accuse d before court is a first offender a

old when the incident took place. He has appealed to the court for leniency and that the deceased was the cause of all these problems.

[4] I must say there are far to o many cases before the court these days where people resort to knive st provocation. We are becoming a very violent society.

[5] On the facts of the present case I find that the accused was put in a corner by the deceased who was the aggressor.

[6] In the circumstance s of this case the accuse d person is sentence d to seven (7) years imprisonme period of three (3) years on condition that accused is not convicted of an offence in which violence is an element committed during the period of suspension. The sentence is backdated to the 24th September 2005.

S.B. MAPHALALA

JUDGE