IN THE HIGH COURT OF SWAZILAND

SWAZILAND BREWERS LTD T/A SWAZILAND BEVERAGES Plaintiff

And

MOTORWORLD (PTY) Ltd T/A SIR MOTORS FILLING STATION

Defendant

Civil Case No. 4032/2006

Coram: S.B. MAPHALALA - J

For the Plaintiff: MR. Z. SHABANGU

For the Defendant: MR. NKOMONDE

JUDGMENT 7th June 2007

[1] This matter appeared before me on contested monoo where Counsel for the Plaintiff applied that the matter be postponed to die 8 June 2007 and that Plaintiff be awarded wasted costs for the day being the Is June

2

2007. Mr. Shabangu relied on the provisions of Rule 32 (3) (c) of the High Court

Rules.

[2] The said Rule cited by the Plaintiff provides that in the notice of application, a

copy of the affidavit in support of any annexures thereto shall be delivered not less

than ten (10) court days before the date of the hearing. Mr. Shabangu contended

that in *casu* the Defendants are clearly out of time and they are not applying for an

indulgence.

[3] On the other hand it was contended for the Defendant that Rule 32 (5) (a) of the

Rules of court does not set time limits on the Defendants. The Defendants would

hand over an affidavit resisting summary judgment. The time frames imposed by

Rule 32 (3) (c) are only on the Plaintiff and not the Defendant.

[4] In my assessment of the above-cited arguments by the parties it appears to me

that the submission on behalf of the Plaintiff is correct. Rule 32 (3) (c) applies in

this case where a copy of the affidavit in support and any annexures thereto shall

be delivered to the Defendant not less than ten (10) court days before the date of

hearing that has taken place. In the present case Defendant has been given 13 days

to file its opposition. In the result, for these reasons I agree with the Plaintiff mat

the matter be postponed to the $8^{\text{th}}\,$ June 2007 and Defendant to pay wasted costs for

the Is1 June 2007.

S.B. MAPHALALA

J UDGE