

## IN THE HIGH COURT OF ESWATINI JUDGMENT

HELD AT MBABANE Case No.: 1027/2023

In the matter between:

**SIPHO MHLANGA** 

TERRENCE MTHETHWA	1st Applicant
PETROS NKAMBULE	2 <sup>nd</sup> Applicant
ANDREW DLAMINI	3 <sup>rd</sup> Applicant
KENNETH MABUZA	4 <sup>th</sup> Applicant
SABELO DAMOI	5 <sup>th</sup> Applicant
EDWARD NHAMBOSSE	6 <sup>th</sup> Applicant
KENNETH LUKHELE	7 <sup>th</sup> Applicant
THEMBA SIFISO DLAMINI	8 <sup>th</sup> Applicant
And	
AFRICA EVANGELICAL CHURCH	1st Respondent
AFRICA EVANGELICAL CHURCH MESHACK DLADLA N.O	1 <sup>st</sup> Respondent 2 <sup>nd</sup> Respondent
	_
MESHACK DLADLA N.O	2 <sup>nd</sup> Respondent
MESHACK DLADLA N.O BUSISANI SIZIBA N.O	2 <sup>nd</sup> Respondent 3 <sup>rd</sup> Respondent
MESHACK DLADLA N.O BUSISANI SIZIBA N.O SIHAWUKELE NGUBANE N.O	2 <sup>nd</sup> Respondent 3 <sup>rd</sup> Respondent 4 <sup>th</sup> Respondent
MESHACK DLADLA N.O BUSISANI SIZIBA N.O SIHAWUKELE NGUBANE N.O BONGUMUSA CHONCO N.O	2 <sup>nd</sup> Respondent 3 <sup>rd</sup> Respondent 4 <sup>th</sup> Respondent 5 <sup>th</sup> Respondent
MESHACK DLADLA N.O BUSISANI SIZIBA N.O SIHAWUKELE NGUBANE N.O BONGUMUSA CHONCO N.O THEMBEKILE GHOBOZA N.O	2 <sup>nd</sup> Respondent 3 <sup>rd</sup> Respondent 4 <sup>th</sup> Respondent 5 <sup>th</sup> Respondent 6 <sup>th</sup> Respondent

10<sup>th</sup> Respondent

STALIN TSELA
NELISWA THWALA
SIZWE NDZINISA

11<sup>th</sup> Respondent 12<sup>th</sup> Respondent 13<sup>th</sup> Respondent

**Neutral Citation:** Terrence Mthethwa and Others vs Africa Evangelical Church and Others (1027/2023) [2023] SZHC 222 (17/08/2023)

Coram: J.M. MAVUSO J

**Date Heard:** 7<sup>th</sup> July, 2023.

**Date Delivered:** 17<sup>th</sup> August, 2023.

**SUMMARY**:

Civil – Matter brought under a certificate of urgency, seeking to have reviewed and set aside, a decision of the 1<sup>st</sup> to 7<sup>th</sup> Respondents, matter allocated a date of argument, soon after the first appearance, on date of argument, confusion on same by the parties – Matter removed from the roll – Subsequent date for hearing, sought and granted to the parties pending hearing on the merits, to maintain the status quo – Applicants seek an order in terms of prayer 6 of Applicants' notice of motion dated the 4<sup>th</sup> May 2023 – Initially prayer 6 was not sought because the matter was to be heard within a relatively short period of time – Court grants prayer 6 of the notice effecting the stay – Costs to be costs in the cause.

## **JUDGMENT**

#### J.M. MAVUSO J:

- [1] On the 11<sup>th</sup> of May 2023, Applicants approached this Court under a certificate of urgency, seeking the orders here under listed:
  - "1. Dispensing with the usual forms and procedures relating to the institution of these proceedings and allowing the matter to be heard and enrolled as one of urgency.
  - 2. Staying the removal of the First and Eighth Applicants pending the finalization of prayer 4 hereunder.
  - 3. Reviewing and setting aside the decision by the First and Seventh Respondents to dissolve the African Evangelical Church Executive Committee and have an interim executive committee elected as arbitrary, ultra vires and irrational.

- 4. Directing the First to Seventh Respondents to furnish a copy of the Minutes of the meeting dated 18th March 2023 to the Registrar of the High Court within seven (7) days.
- 5. An order staying the operation of the Interim Executive

  Committee from exercising any functions and/or powers of

  an executive committee pending the finalization of this

  matter.
- 6. That prayer 2, 4 and 5 operate with interim effect pending finalization of this matter.
- 7. That a Rule Nisi hereby issue calling upon the Respondents to show cause on a date to be fixed by the Honourable Court, why prayers 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 herein, should not be made final.
- 8. Costs of suit.

- 9. Granting further and/or alternative relief as it may deem fit."
- [2] (i) A brief background of this matter, is that it appeared, for the first

  Time in the Court Roll, on the 11<sup>th</sup> May 2023 scheduled for hearing
  at 2:30 p.m. of the same day. At 2:30 p.m. due to the Court being
  held up in the hearing of other matters it was then stood down to
  9:30 a.m. the following day, for hearing. Once again, due to similar
  reasons as before, the matter was postponed to the 16<sup>th</sup> of May 2023
  for argument. On the said date, the matter was removed from the
  Roll due to non-appearance, by the parties.
  - (ii) In terms of the Notice of Motion dated the 30<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2023, served upon Respondents' Attorneys on the 31<sup>st</sup> May 2023, the matter was set for the 1<sup>st</sup> of June, 2023 at 9:30 a.m. for an order.
    - "(1) Re-instating the matter on the Roll accordingly;
    - (2) That the matter be allocated a date for arguments;

- (3) Granting Applicants such further and/or alternative relief as it may deem fit."
- [3] Supporting the re-instatement of the matter, at paragraph 6.2 of its application dated the 30<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2023, Applicants' Attorney states thus:

"I duly state that it was not through any negligence on the part of the attorneys that the matter was not heard on the 16<sup>th</sup> May 2023. I aver that both attorneys laboured under the impression that the matter was set for hearing on the 17<sup>th</sup> May 2023. I duly aver that I clearly did not hear the date set for hearing the matter properly and to my best knowledge, the matter had been set for hearing for 17<sup>th</sup> May 2023."

[4] (i) Accepting that Learned Counsel may not have properly heard the date, to which the matter was set for hearing, the Court proceeded to have it re-instated and set for hearing on the 18<sup>th</sup> July 2023. On this date, arguments were heard by the Court.

- (ii) The agreed issue for determination on the said date, was for an interim order staying:
  - (a) the removal, from office, of the 1<sup>st</sup> to 8<sup>th</sup> Applicants pending the review and setting, aside of the 1<sup>st</sup> to 7<sup>th</sup> Respondents' decision to dissolve the African Evangelical Church Executive Committee.
  - (b) the operation of the Executive Committee pending finalization of the review application.
- [5] The clear purpose of the interlocutory orders sought by Applicants is to preserve the status *quo*, until the review proceedings are finalised. This is further made clear by prayer 4 of the Applicants' application, in which an order is sought to have 1<sup>st</sup> to 7<sup>th</sup> Respondents, furnish Applicants with a copy of the Minutes of the meeting dated 18<sup>th</sup> March 2023 and to file same with the Registrar of the High Court within seven (7) days, as per procedure in review applications, under High Court Rule 53 of the Rules as amended.
- [6] Applicants in this case, with the exception of the 7<sup>th</sup> and 8<sup>th</sup> Applicants are Applicants in **Civil Case Number 646/22** pending before my brother his

Lordship B.S. Dlamini. In that matter 7<sup>th</sup> and 8<sup>th</sup> Applicants, Edward Nhambosse and Maphikelela Dlamini, respectively, are the only two individuals whose names do not appear as Applicants in this application.

[7] In <u>Civil Case Number 646/22</u>, the Court issued an interlocutory order, which reads thus:

#### IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- "1. The usual forms and procedures relating to the institution of these proceedings are hereby dispensed with and the matter is allowed to be (sic) heard and enrolled as an urgent one.
- 2. That the then purported suspension of the current executive being the 2<sup>nd</sup> to 8<sup>th</sup> Applicants is hereby set aside as irregular, ultra vires and contrary to the provision of section 33 of the Constitution of Eswatini Act No.1 of 2005.

- 3. The suspension is hereby stayed pending the finalization of prayer 3 hereof.
- 4. That prayer 3 and 3.1 operate forthwith with interim effect pending finalisation of prayer 2, 3, 4 and 5 of the Notice of Motion."

The above order is contained in page 195 of the Applicant's Book of Pleadings.

- [8] In the present application, <u>Civil Case Number 1027/2023</u>, Applicants seek, in its Notice of Motion the orders hereunder:
  - "1. Dispensing with the usual forms and procedures relating to the institution of these proceedings and allowing the matter to be heard and enrolled as one of urgency.
  - 2. Staying the removal of the First to Eighth Applicants pending the finalization of prayer 4 hereunder.

- 3. Reviewing and setting aside the decision by the First to
  Seventh Respondents to dissolve the African Evangelical
  Church Executive Committee and have an interim executive
  committee elected as arbitrary, ultra vires and irrational.
- 4. Directing the First to Seventh Respondents to furnish a copy of the Minutes of the meeting dated 18th March 2023 to the Registrar of the High Court within seven (7) days.
- 5. An order staying the operation of the Interim Executive

  Committee from exercising any functions and/or powers of

  an executive committee pending the finalisation of this

  matter.
- 6 That prayer 2, 4 and 5 operate with interim effect pending finalisation of this matter.

- 7. That a Rule Nisi is hereby issued calling upon the Respondents to show cause on a date to be fixed by the Honourable Court why prayers 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 herein should not be made final.
- 8. Costs of suit.
- 9. Granting further and/or alternative relief as it may deem fit."
- [9] The prayers in Civil Case Number 646/22 which culminated in the order, set out in paragraph 7 above, are hereunder set out; starting with prayer 3:
  - "3. That the purported suspension of the current executive being the 2<sup>nd</sup> to 8<sup>th</sup> Applicants be set aside as irregular, ultra vires and contrary to the provision of section 33 of the Constitution of Eswatini Act No. 1 of 2005;

- 3.1 An order staying the suspension pending finalisation of prayer 3 hereof.
- 4. An order declaring that the correct body with powers to run the church ( $1^{st}$  Applicant) is the current executive committee being the  $2^{nd}$  to  $8^{th}$  Applicant as duly elected.
- 5. An order that the 1<sup>st</sup> Respondent changes its name forthwith.
- 6. That the Respondents be interdicted and/or restrained from interfering in the governing of the affairs (sic) of Applicant.
- 7. That prayers 3 and 5 operate forthwith, with interim effect pending finalisation of prayer 2, 3, 4 and 5 of the Notice of Motion.
- 8. That a Rule Nisi be hereby issued calling upon the Respondents to show cause on a date to be fixed by the

Honourable Court why prayers 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 9 should not be made final.

### 9. Costs of suit.

- 10. Granting the Applicants any further and/or alternative relief."
- [10] The Court finds that there are material similarities between the two applications, both pending before this Court.
  - Number 1027/2023, is Terrence Mthethwa. He is cited as 2<sup>nd</sup> Applicant, in Civil Case Number 646/2022. In Civil Case No. 1027/2023 Petros Nkambule is cited as the 2<sup>nd</sup> Applicant whilst in Civil Case Number 646/2022 he is cited as the 3<sup>rd</sup> Applicant. In Civil Case Number 1027/2023 Andrew Dlamini is cited as 3<sup>rd</sup> Applicant whilst in 646/2022, he is cited as 4<sup>th</sup> Applicant. Kenneth Mabuza in Civil Case 1027/2023, is cited as 4<sup>th</sup> Applicant whilst in 646/2022 he appears as 5<sup>th</sup> Applicant. In Civil Case Number

1027/2023, Sabelo Damoi appears as 5<sup>th</sup> Applicant whilst in Civil Case Number 646/2022, he appears as 6<sup>th</sup> Applicant. Edward Nhambosse is cited as 6<sup>th</sup> Applicant whilst in 646/2022, he is cited as 7<sup>th</sup> Applicant.

- (ii) Apart from the prayer requiring 1<sup>st</sup> Respondent to change its name, in Civil Case Number 646/22, the meaning and effect of the orders sought in both applications is similar. There is no legal justification for not consolidating the two applications.
- [11] Based on the above, the Court is of the considered view that, there is no reason, for it, not to grant an interim order, staying the removal from office, of the 1<sup>st</sup> to 8<sup>th</sup> Applicants pending the outcome of the review proceedings directed at having the decision of the 1<sup>st</sup> to 7<sup>th</sup> Respondents (to dissolve the African Evangelical Church Executive Committee) set aside and/or corrected.
- [12] The Court is of the view that granting an interim order, will not prejudice the Respondents as there is already a similar order in place, under case number 646/22. This is the order referred to in paragraph 7 of this Judgment.

[13] Accordingly, an interim order in terms of prayer 6 of the Notice of Motion dated the 4<sup>th</sup> day of May 2023 bearing the Registrar's stamp, dated the 10<sup>th</sup> May 2023, is hereby granted. Costs to be costs in the cause.

# J.M. MAVUSO JUDGE OF THE HIGH COURT OF THE KINGDOM OF ESWATINI

**For the Applicants:** S.V. MDLADLA & ASSOCIATES

**For the Respondents:** DYNASTY INC ATTORNEYS