

```
<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
<html>
<head>
    <meta http-equiv="content-type" content="text/html;
charset=utf-8">
    <title></title>
    <meta name="generator" content="LibreOffice 4.2.6.3
(Linux)">
    <meta name="created" content="20130521;1037000000000000">
    <meta name="changed" content="20130603;1043000000000000">
    <meta name="Operator" content="DJB">
    <style type="text/css">
        <!--
            @page { margin: 2.54cm }
            p { margin-bottom: 0.25cm; line-height: 120% }
        -->
    </style>
</head>
<body lang="en-ZA" dir="ltr">
<p align="right" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%;
widows: 0; orphans: 0">
<font face="Arial, serif"><font size="2" style="font-size:
10pt"><span lang="en-US">1</span></font></font></p>
<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-
height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
<br>
</p>
<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%;
widows: 0; orphans: 0">
<font face="Arial, serif"><font size="2" style="font-size:
10pt"><span lang="en-US">IN
THE COURT OF APPEAL OF SWAZILAND</span></font></font></p>
<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-
height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
<br>
</p>
<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%;
widows: 0; orphans: 0">
<font face="Arial, serif"><font size="2" style="font-size:
10pt"><span lang="en-US">HELD
AT MBABANE</span></font></font></p>
<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-
height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
<br>
</p>
<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%;
widows: 0; orphans: 0">
```

CASE NO. 4/1996</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

In the matter between:</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

SWAZILAND SUGAR ASSOCIATION

Appellant</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

and</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

SWAZILAND FOOD PROCESSORS (PTY) LTD Respondent</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-

height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

CORAM:</p>

</p>

KOTZE
J P
</p>

</p>

STEYN
J A
</p>

</p>

TEBBUTT
J A</p>

</p>

JUDGMENT

DELIVERED ON</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

Respondent sought the following relief in the Court a Quo:</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

which is, in fact, a final warning to Respondent in the following terms:</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

"Ref: 5/118</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

Swaziland Food Processors</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

P0 Box 2590

</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

MBABANE</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

Dear
Senator Temple CONDITIONS OF SALE OF
SUGAR</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="right" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

12</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

I
wish to once again confirm the conditions under which the
Association
sell (sic) sugar to customers in Swaziland and which are as
follows;</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

<font size="2" style="font-size:

10pt">>Wholesalers
- for domestic consumer market.</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
 Manufacturers
- for use in process of
manufacture.</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
 Blenders
- for use in blended products for sale to manufacturers who
use the
blend in their final product.</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
 Exporters
- for sole, direct export to
Mocambique.</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
 From
the above it is clear that raw sugar may not be sold or traded in
Swaziland except as outlined above.</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
 We
have become aware of a very active 'secondary' market in sugar in
Swaziland, resulting from certain customers breaking their
conditions
of sale with the Association by selling raw sugar to persons or
companies who are not customers of the Association and who mainly
export this raw sugar to South Africa.</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-

height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%;

widows: 0; orphans: 0">

<font size="2" style="font-size:

10pt">We

have obtained evidence that your organisation is selling, or has

recently sold, raw sugar in contravention of your contract with

the

Association.</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-

height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="right" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%;

widows: 0; orphans: 0">

<font size="2" style="font-size:

10pt">13</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-

height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%;

widows: 0; orphans: 0">

<font size="2" style="font-size:

10pt">This

letter is a final warning against such sales of raw sugar. Should

the

Association obtain any further evidence of such breach of

contract,

your allocation will be cancelled without further notice and with

immediate effect.</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-

height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%;

widows: 0; orphans: 0">

<font size="2" style="font-size:

10pt">We

value your custom and urge you to operate within the conditions

of

sale to avoid any drastic action against your

organisation.</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-

height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

Yours
faithfully</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

P
F DE BEER

</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

FINANCIAL
MANAGER"</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

It
is not necessary to cite Respondent's reply to this letter. It is
Annex. D dated the 2nd August 1995. In essence Respondent's
reaction
is to say that it needs the evidence "so as i could follow
up on
the issue..." The reply states further"... that I need
this
evidence so that I am able to take action if necessary, for all I
know somebody could be doing it fraudently as has happened in the
past."

</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

This correspondence was followed by a meeting of the parties on the 4th August 1995. On the same day Respondent follows its response up with

a letter which reads as follows:</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

"Mr A Calhoun</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="right" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

14</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

General Manager

</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

Swaziland Sugar Association

</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

MBABANE</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

August
4 1995</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

STRICTLY
WITHOUT PREJUDICE</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

Dear
Mr Calhoun</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

I
thank you and your management for the time spent with me on
Friday
and for the amicable way in which the discussions were

held.</p>
<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>
<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
I
have attempted to investigate what happened insofar as the issue
regarding the raw sugar is concerned, but unfortunately it has
proved
very difficult ascertaining the truth or otherwise of this
matter.</p>
<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>
<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
To
attempt to control this practice, (if it has been happening), i
have
personally instructed by staff that in future all orders must
first
be sanctioned by me before they are placed with the Sugar
Association.</p>
<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>
<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
Subject
to the discussions held with Mr Folker, we are prepared to
release
the following quota to assist the Sugar Association through its
current predicament.</p>
<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>
<p align="right" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
<font size="2" style="font-size:

10pt">15</p>
<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>
<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
This
is based on the understanding that this is done in good faith and
will in no way effect our negotiations for quotas next year. It
must
also be noted that we have released this quota on the basis that
this
sugar will be used for the purpose it is meant for and not
shipped
out in its raw form.</p>
<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>
<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
The
quota we agree to release is:</p>
<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>
<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
75
tonnes Brown Swaziland Food Processors</p>
<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
75
tonnes Brown Matsapha Prepackers</p>
<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
25
tonnes VHP Swaziland Food Processors</p>
<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

I have instructed my management and staff to be on the look out for any raw sugar passing through the border. If they find this to be happening, the information they collate will be passed on to yourselves for appropriate action.</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

The issue of the white sugar is that i understand you are convicting us for an issue neither of us can prove inconclusively (sic). I have spoken to my management and they are of the opinion that we would be able to release 150 tonnes white to assist the Association through their current crisis.</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

I trust this meets with your approval and confirm that our quotas up to March 1995 stand as follows:</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="right" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

16</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-

height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%;

widows: 0; orphans: 0">

<font size="2" style="font-size:

10pt">250

tonnes white Swaziland Food Processors

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%;

widows: 0; orphans: 0">

<font size="2" style="font-size:

10pt">30

tonnes white Matsapha Prepackers

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%;

widows: 0; orphans: 0">

<font size="2" style="font-size:

10pt">575

tonnes VHP Swaziland Food Processors</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-

height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%;

widows: 0; orphans: 0">

<font size="2" style="font-size:

10pt">This

will come up for re-negotiation in March 1996 and will in no way

be

jeopardised by our current commitment to assisting the

Association

and the country as a whole.</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-

height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%;

widows: 0; orphans: 0">

<font size="2" style="font-size:

10pt">Yours

faithfully</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-

height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%;

widows: 0; orphans: 0">
SENATOR
M TEMPLE
</p>
<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>
<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
cc.
SENATOR O M DLAMINI
</p>
<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>
<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
MR
A T DLAMINI"</p>
<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>
<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
This
letter however crossed a letter of the Appellant dated 7th August
1995. It reads as follows;</p>
<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>
<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
"Ref.
Conf 7 August 1995</p>
<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

17

Sen. M Temple

Swaziland Food Processors

Matsapha

Dear Sen. Temple,

I would like to thank you for the useful discussions we had on Friday 4th August.</p><p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
</p><p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">The situation now stands, as I understand it, that you will Investigate the occurrence that we brought to your attention. In the meantime your white sugar allocation will be reduced from 400 tonnes per month to 100 tonnes per month. The 100 tonnes per month that you will continue to draw will be in respect of your manufacture of castor and icing sugar.</p><p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
</p><p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">I look forward to a speedy resolution of this matter so that we can ail return to an amicable business relationship.</p><p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
</p><p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
</p><p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">Yours sincerely

</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

A
D Colhoun

</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

GENERAL
MANAGER"</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

It
is clear from this correspondence that there is a dispute as to
what
occurred at the meeting of the 4th August 1995. Appellant alleges
that pending the investigation, the Respondent's allocation will
be
reduced. The latter offers a voluntary reduction in
its</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="right" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

18</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
allocation
of white sugar of 150 tonnes per month. Further correspondence
between the parties followed. It is not necessary to refer to
these
letter. Ultimately both parties consulted their lawyers. On
October
9, 1995 Respondents attorneys write as
follows;</p>
<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>
<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
"1.
We write to you on the instructions of our client Swaziland Food
Processors (Proprietary) Limited, concerning your unilateral
decision
to reduce our client's allocation of white sugar from 400 tonnes
per
month to 100 tonnes per month as from August of
1995.</p>
<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>
<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
"2.
As previously pointed out to you by our client in the
correspondence
which we have perused your aforesaid action is unlawful in
that:</p>
<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>
<p align="justify" style="margin-left: 1.27cm; margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
a)
there exists a contract between yourselves and our client in

terms

whereof you undertake to supply it with 400 tonnes of white sugar as

from 1st April 1995, to 31st March 1996;</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-left: 1.27cm; margin-bottom:

0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

<font size="2" style="font-size:

10pt">b)

you do not claim that such reduction in supply is in any way

related

to the non-availability of such sugar;</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-left: 1.27cm; margin-bottom:

0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

<font size="2" style="font-size:

10pt">c)

your aforesaid unilateral decision was taken without affording

our

client the opportunity of a hearing whereat such allegations as

you

might have against it could be answered;</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-

height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="right" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%;

widows: 0; orphans: 0">

<font size="2" style="font-size:

10pt">19

</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-

height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-left: 1.27cm; margin-bottom:

0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

<font size="2" style="font-size:

10pt">d)

we understand that in fact there is no evidence against our

client</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-left: 1.27cm; margin-bottom:

0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

<font size="2" style="font-size:

10pt">which

would establish that our client is in breach of the agreement

between

yourselves and which would give you the right to take such

action.</p>
<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>
<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
In
fact it would appear from what little our client knows of the
matter
on which you appear to base your unilateral decision that the
information in your possession amounts to nothing more than
rumours
and unsubstantiated allegations.</p>
<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>
<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
3.
Our client persists in its denial that it is in breach of the
conditions of the aforesaid agreement between it and your
association.</p>
<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>
<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
4.
In the circumstances we have been Instructed to call upon you to
reinstate our client's full white sugar allocation of 400 tonnes
per
month retrospective to 1 st August 1995, and to give us your
undertaking that you will not again unilaterally reduce such
allocation."
</p>
<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>
<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

As can be seen from this letter Respondent alleges that it did not breach the agreement and that Appellant has failed to furnish it with evidence that it has done so. On the next day, Appellant's attorneys reply in the following terms: (I only cite that portion of the letter which is relevant)</p><p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
</p><p align="right" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">20</p><p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
</p><p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">2.1</p>On 15 March 1995 your client was in writing allocated 400 tonnes per month of white sugar, 75 tonnes per month of brown sugar and 600 tonnes per month of VHP sugar for the 1995/96 season commencing on 1 April 1995 and ending on 31 March 1996. Your client was specifically advised that due to the current drought situation prevailing in Swaziland that it reserved the right to supply your client less than the allocated tonnages in the event of drought and other factors coming into play.</p><p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
</p><p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">2.2</p>Your client was sent a standard agreement which specifically set

out
the terms and conditions relating to the purchase and supply of
sugar
from ourselves.</p>
<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-
height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>
<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%;
widows: 0; orphans: 0">
<font size="2" style="font-size:
10pt">2.3
In terms of your client's proposal, the sugar was allocated to
your
client for blending and manufacturing purposes only and for no
other
reason whatsoever.
</p>
<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-
height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>
<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%;
widows: 0; orphans: 0">
<font size="2" style="font-size:
10pt">2.4
In terms of the said standard agreement sent to you client, which
your client has refused and/or neglected to sign despite being
reminded to do so on 13 September 1995, it is specifically
provided
that your client was not entitled to sell sugar in its pure form
and
this had in fact applied to previous contracts entered into with
your
client and your client was at all times aware of that
provision.</p>
<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-
height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>
<p align="right" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%;
widows: 0; orphans: 0">
<font size="2" style="font-size:
10pt">21</p>
<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-
height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

2.5

On 9 June 1995 we wrote to your client reaffirming the conditions of sale and advising your client that we were in possession of evidence of the sale of pure white sugar to a third party in contravention of the provisions of the agreement. Your client was issued with a final warning and advised that if it again occurred that your client sold pure sugar that your client's allocation would be cancelled without further notice. We have in our possession the necessary proof relating to that sale and your client never requested details relating to the sale in question.</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

2.6

On 25 July 1995 we obtained proof of another incident of sale of pure white sugar.</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

2.7

As a result thereof we met with your clients on 4 August 1995 when your clients undertook to investigate the position. It was at that meeting agreed to reduce your client's white sugar allocation from 400 tonnes to 100</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-

height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

tonnes per month.</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

2.8

This agreement was confirmed to your clients in writing on 7 August 1995.

</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

2.9

On 9 August 1995 we again wrote to your client and advised of a further proof of sale of pure sugar and drew your client's attention to the fact that the sale of sugar to your clients was for the purposes of blending only.

</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

22</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<font size="2" style="font-size:

10pt">>2.10
By agreement between ourselves and your client's brown sugar allocation was cancelled and the VHP allocation was reduced by 25 tonnes per month because of the drought situation in Swaziland.</p>

</p>3.
With respect, as in any commercial transaction, we were and are perfectly within our rights to have withdrawn your client's allocation completely because of the breach of the standard conditions of sale which has occurred despite warnings having been addressed to your client in writing."</p>

</p>There
the matter rested until towards the end of October 1995 it appeared
that Respondent was unable to meet it's obligations to Appellant,
a
cheque for E200.000 having been dishonoured. A letter of demand was
sent to Respondent on 8 November 1995 in which payment of E2.271.868.99 is demanded, On the 17th November Respondent initiated
the present proceedings by way of notice of motion.</p>

</p>The

issues that arise from the above are the following:

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

1.

Did Respondent commit a breach of contract by disposing of white sugar in conflict with the terms of the agreement?

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

2.

Was there compliance with the terms of the contract which entitled

Respondent to invoke the provisions of par. 10.5 and

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="right" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

23</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

3.

Did par 10:5 entitle Appellant to reduce the allocation of sugar as

it did i.e. to honour the commitment to deliver but to reduce the quantum to 100 tonnes per month for the rest of the period of the contract.

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-

height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

The Court a quo held that "the applicant had every right, under the agreement, to expect notice of any alleged breach and a demand that the breach be remedied within the seven day period". It held that:</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

"the respondents letter of 9 June did not amount to a notice under clause 10."</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

On the question of the breach and whether there was evidence of the breach and whether said evidence was furnished to the Respondent the learned Judge says the following:</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

'The present application was filed with the Registrar on the 20th November 1995. it is abundantly clear from the correspondence between the parties which I have set out, that the respondent acted In a high-handed manner in applying what it considered its rights under the agreement with the applicant. The evidence which the

respondent claimed to have of instances when the applicant exported white sugar to South Africa was never put to the applicant prior to the launching of this application. The respondent's letter of the 9th June 1995 did not refer to any specific instance of the export of white sugar by the applicant. No details of any such export were given to the applicant at the meeting of the 4th August 1995. The documents that were given to the applicant at that meeting related to the</p><p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
</p><p align="right" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">24</p><p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
</p><p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">incident of the 25th July 1995. Those documents standing alone as they did at the time of the filing of this application did not constitute evidence of a breach of the agreement between the parties by the applicant. The applicant made this quite clear to the respondent in the letter from the applicant's attorneys dated 9th October 1995. No further details were provided by the respondent."</p><p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
</p><p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0"><font size="2" style="font-size:

10pt">>It would seem to me that the Court a quo held that there was an obligation on the Appellant to furnish Respondent with evidence of "instances when the applicant exported white sugar to South Africa." and that such evidence "was never put to the applicant prior to the launching of such application."

</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

However, the Court does not seem to have adjudicated upon the question as to whether on the evidence before it a breach was in fact committed, but rather that no such evidence was furnished to the Respondent, that Appellant was obliged to do so and that it had failed to give Respondent the requisite notice of the breach.

</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

Whilst the issue of due notice was argued before us by counsel for the Respondent, it was not contended that there indeed was any obligation on Appellant to furnish Respondent with evidence that a breach had in fact been committed. That counsel was right in not pursuing such an argument seems to me to be clearly correct. The issue was not whether evidence of a breach was furnished but whether or not a breach had in fact occurred. This seems to me to be the first issue which has

to be
decided. The evidence before the Court a quo established the
following:</p>

</p>

25</p>

</p>

"Swaziland sugar is approximately E300 000 per tonne cheaper than sugar produced
in the RSA. Respondent contends that "if South African manufacturers were in a position to obtain Swaziland sugar, there would be little incentive to set up sugar related manufacturing industries in the Kingdom of Swaziland. The Respondent (Appellant before us) as a mater of policy, wishes to promote the establishment
of local industries. This is a policy supported by the Ministry of
Commerce. The restrictions contained in clause 2 of the Agreement,
Annexure "A", is therefore designed to give effect to this
policy."</p>

</p>

These averments were not in fact disputed by the Respondent. It is also clear from the evidence that as early as 9th May 1995 concern was expressed by Appellant's concerning Respondent's financial viability.
On the 30th June 1995 Appellant states that"... the account

of
SFP (Respondent) with the Association (Appellant) remains problematic."
</p>
<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>
<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
The letter goes on to state:</p>
<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>
<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
"In view of our past experiences we have no option but to cease all deliveries of sugar to SFP until the amounts owed to the Association have been paid in full. Thereafter, depending on guarantees provided, SFP will be allowed to operate an account of a strictly 30 days basis within the credit limit agreed with SFP. Failing the delivery of suitable guarantees, all further purchases by SFP will be on a cash with order basis."</p>
<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>
<p align="right" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
26</p>
<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>
<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
<font size="2" style="font-size:

Whilst Respondent challenges the relevance of these allegations, there is no real dispute that this correspondence reflects the attitude of, and view held by, Appellant of Respondent's financial soundness and its ability to meet its obligations to Appellant. Indeed, an objective view of the testimony before us paints a picture of ongoing and grave financial crises.

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

That these facts are relevant is clear. In the first place Appellant contends that the present application was launched only after letters of demand of the 7th and 8th of November 1995 from Appellant were received by Respondent and that it was this fact that prompted the institution of these proceedings. It is also contended by Appellant that Respondent's attempt to ascribe its financial situation to the reduction in the allocation of white sugar cannot be sustained on the evidence, inasmuch as its financial problems were already evident some months previously. Finally, if Respondent's ship was sailing in troubled waters, it would be more readily inclined to risk breaking its contract and to seek a quick profit than if it were financially stable.

</p>

</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

A careful reading of the affidavits filed on Appellant's behalf, the correspondence and the evasive response of the Respondent, leaves me in no doubt that even if there were an onus resting on Appellant to prove that Respondent had indeed been a party to the export into the RSA of white sugar in conflict with the terms of its agreement, it had succeeded in discharging such onus. The affidavits filed in this regard by Messrs Mbuso Simelane and William Justice Dlamini that pursuant to an order placed by Respondent a truck bearing registration no. TDH968T (which I am satisfied must have</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="right" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

27</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

been the same truck that loaded the sugar at Ubombo Ranches Mill by one JJ Horn) transported 170 metric tonnes of sugar through the border post at Oshoek/Ngwenya.</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%;

widows: 0; orphans: 0">
On evidence which is largely undisputed, Appellant therefore dearly established a *prima facie* case that Respondent was indeed involved in the export of sugar obtained from the Ubombo Ranches Mill.</p>
<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>
<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
Some point was made of the fact that counsel for Respondent only saw the affidavit by Mr Dlamini at the hearing of the application and that this was the reason why it had not been replied to. It is quite clear, however, from the bound record prepared and filed by Respondent's attorneys and which included the said affidavit, that Respondent's attorneys were aware of the averments contained in Dlamini's affidavit some days before the hearing in the Court a quo and that had Respondent wished to dispute its contents it could have done so.
</p>
<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>
<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
However, Dlamini's affidavit was merely a confirmation of and expanded upon that of Simelane which was replied to by Respondent in what is in my view an evasive and unsatisfactory manner.</p>
<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

In fact the allegations of a breach were canvassed in correspondence and in personal discussions over a considerable period of time. I therefore find myself in respectful disagreement with the Court a quo

that Appellant "acted in a high-handed manner in applying what it considered its rights under the agreement with the Applicant"</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="right" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

28

</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

(Respondent in this Court).</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

My reading of the correspondence and of the affidavits leads me to conclude that Appellant was at pains to try to "keep the peace" as it were with Respondent. I say this because:</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

It

knows as early as the 9th May 1995 that it has Respondent a customer

whose financial viability is suspect. On the 30th of June the

problematic nature of Respondent's account is once again adverted to

and credit arrangements are tightened.</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

In

the meantime and on the 9th of June 1995 Respondent's attention is

drawn to the fact that "raw sugar may not be sold or traded in

Swaziland contrary to the provisions of the agreement between the

parties." As is apparent from the other terms of the letter of

9 June cited above, Appellant issues a serious and final warning

that should any further breach occur "your allocation will be

cancelled without further notice and with immediate effect"</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

Despite

this notice, it is established on the evidence that Respondent on

the 20th July accepted an order for 170 tons of white sugar which

was on the 25th July loaded by the said JJ Horn pursuant to order no

431 submitted to Appellant by Respondent and on the same day exported via the same vehicle out of Swaziland into the

Republic of
South Africa.</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>
<p lang="en-US" align="right" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>
<p align="right" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
29</p>
<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>
<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
I
accordingly find that a breach having been established, Appellant was
legally entitled to exercise its rights under the contract. The next
question is did it do so lawfully and in accordance with its terms?
</p>
<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>
<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
As
appears from what has been recorded above two points were raised in
this regard. The first is the following: Did the contract give
Appellant the right to reduce the quantum of the white sugar to be
delivered to Respondent or was it obliged to cease the delivery of
"all supplies of sugar" (my underlining). Secondly, was it

obliged to comply with the provisions contained in the preamble
in
para
</p>
<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
10.
of the agreement by giving 7 days notice and affording Respondent
an
opportunity to remedy the breach and if so, did it comply with
such
provisions.</p>
<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>
<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
At
first blush the terms of the contract seems to limit the right of
the
Appellant to a cessation of "all supplies of sugar".
This
could in my view not have been the intention of the parties. When
one
considers the provisions of the legislation which confers
exclusive
rights on Appellant to regulate and control the industry, when
one
takes cognisance of the fact that no one can import or export
sugar
other than the association or a person authorised by it, it seems
to
me that it would be artificial to give a meaning to the agreement
that would limit the rights of the Appellant in the manner
postulated.
</p>
<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>
<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
Indeed,

such an interpretation would defeat the very objective the legislature had in mind, i.e. that industrial development via the beneficiation of sugar would occur and that employment opportunities

would be created. If no discretion were to be conferred on Appellant

and it were obliged in all circumstances and in every case to cease

to supply all allocations of sugar it would result in the closing down of industries with the loss of jobs that would ensue: It is common cause that the agreement is a standard one</p>

</p>

30</p>

</p>

applicable

to all those who enter into relationships with Appellant pursuant to

which sugar is purchased from and supplied by it and that these consequences would follow if the agreement were to be held to confer

only a right to cease the supply of all sugar.</p>

</p>

In

my view, therefore, counsel for Appellant is right when he contends

that "the greater includes the lesser" and that "all"

also comprehends "some" .</p></p>it is clear that the generality conveyed by the use of the word
"all"
can be confined by the context in which the word is used. See Re Portal and Lamb 30 Ch.D.50 where it was held that the generality
of a
devise of "all my lands" may be restricted by the
context.
Thus e.g. in Doe d. Snape v Nevill 11 QB 466 it was held that
"all"
meant, in the context in which it was used "all the
residue".
(See Stroud's Judicial Dictionary 5th
Ed.p.103)</p>

</p>

am therefore satisfied that it clearly was the intention of the
parties when they agreed to adopt the standard agreement, that,
even
though a breach of the terms of the contract may have occurred,
the
Appellant could in its discretion, instead of ceasing to deliver
all
of 400 tonnes of sugar, deliver a lesser
amount.</p>

As appears from the summary of the contentions advanced and from the ruling by the Court a quo the only outstanding issue is the question as to whether the terms of the preamble to para. 10 of the agreement obliged Appellant to notify Respondent of the

31

breach and to give it 7 days notice to remedy such breach. Only if this question is answered in the affirmative would one have to consider whether Appellant did in fact or in substance comply with its terms

.

Appellant's contention in this regard was to point to its letter of the 9th of June 1995 in which it advises Respondent that it holds the view that Respondent is selling, or has recently sold raw sugar in

contravention of your contract with the association". This - so
it was argued on behalf of Appellant - was sufficient
notification
making it clear that a breach of para. 2 of the agreement had
occurred. Due emphasis was placed on the terms of the penultimate
paragraph of this letter. I again record its terms. It
reads:</p>

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

"This

letter is a final warning against such sales of raw sugar. Should
the
association obtain any further evidence of such breach of
contract
your allocation will be cancelled without further notice and with
immediate effect."</p>

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

Clearly

this notification did not call upon Respondent "to remedy
the
breach". It would in my view have been entirely
inappropriate to
have done so. The nature of the breach was not of such a kind as
to
have permitted it being remedied. Once Respondent exported sugar
to
South Africa in conflict with the agreement, such sugar is out of
its
control. If called upon to remedy the breach, it could not do so.
It
would have been as illegal for it to attempt to Import sugar as
to
have exported it.</p>

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

The provisions concerning an opportunity to remedy the breach were imported into the contract to deal with cases where it would be possible for a party to do so, and time</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="right" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

32</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

(seven (7) days) would be given to it to honour such an obligation. A failure to comply with the provisions concerning payment as provided for in par. 7 of the agreement would be a prime example of such a breach to which this part of the introductory paragraph in clause 10 would apply.</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

It is my view that the provisions concerning the opportunity to remedy the breach and the notice period of 7 days to do so, have no application to a breach of the kind that occurred in the case under review. What Appellant did was both proper and reasonable. It gave

timeous warning to Respondent that it had committed a breach and it detailed what the nature of the breach was. It cautioned Respondent it that should it do so again it would invoke the terms of para. 10(5) of the contract. Despite this "final warning". Respondent once again breached the same fundamental term of the agreement.

</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

Appellant was fully justified in invoking the terms of para. 10(5) in the manner in which it did.</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

Bearing in mind the nature of the breach, the contents of the notice given was required to be sufficient to inform it of the nature of the breach committed. See *West Car Properties v Young* 1983(2) SA 188 (N). Moreover the nature of the notice would depend on the terms of the contract and the nature of the breach. See *Godbolt v Tomson* 1970(1) SA 61 (N), and *Rautenbach v Venner* 1928 TPD 26, where at p.30 Greenberg, J says: "And in construing the words setting out the conditions, the object of the conditions will have to be considered in order to assist in the question of construction."</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="right" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

33</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

For

these reasons the appeal succeeds. The order made by the Court a

Quo

is set aside. In its place the following order is made: 'The application is dismissed with costs." Respondent is ordered to

pay the costs both in the Court below and in this Court on the scale

as between attorney and client in accordance with the provisions of

par. 10.4 of the agreement. Such costs will include the costs of two

Counsel in both Courts.</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-left: 2.54cm; margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

J

H STEYN JA

</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

</p>

<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">

I

agree.

</p>

<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-

```
height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
<br>
</p>
<p align="justify" style="margin-left: 1.27cm; text-indent:
1.27cm; margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0;
orphans: 0">
<font face="Arial, serif"><font size="2" style="font-size:
10pt"><span lang="en-US">G
P C KOTZE J P</span></font></font></p>
<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-
height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
<br>
</p>
<p align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%;
widows: 0; orphans: 0">
<font face="Arial, serif"><font size="2" style="font-size:
10pt"><span lang="en-US">I
agree.</span></font></font></p>
<p lang="en-US" align="justify" style="margin-bottom: 0cm; line-
height: 100%; widows: 0; orphans: 0">
<br>
</p>
<p align="justify" style="margin-left: 1.27cm; text-indent:
1.27cm; margin-bottom: 0cm; line-height: 100%; widows: 0;
orphans: 0">
<font face="Arial, serif"><font size="2" style="font-size:
10pt"><span lang="en-US">P
A TEBBUTT J A</span></font></font></p>
</body>
</html>
```