



side, which was hearsay. Quite clearly those who gave evidence had no personal knowledge of the events. If indeed the slaughtering for the day had been completed the evidence is that all the employees

3

including the engineers had nothing further to do and it was usual for them to leave before the closing time.

We cannot disturb this finding. In view of this there is no basis for the appeal at all.

Mr. Jele who argued the matter for the appellant constantly came up against this obstacle but he could not displace it. The respondent was not shown to have been absent in any way detrimental to the appellant's business by a wrongful act which can be visited with a dismissal.

Accordingly the appeal must be dismissed and the order of the court a quo is confirmed.

SAPIRE, JP.

I AGREE

MATSEBULA, JA

I AGREE

MAPHALALA, JA